

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83792
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Extension Services for Food and Nutrition Security through Integrated Crop-Livestock Farming Systems: A Case Study of Smallholder Farmers in Rarieda Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Review Article
Date Received	February 07, 2022
Date Due	February 10, 2022

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>Abstract is good, it represents the paper in its parts.</p> <p>Introduction and background are very brief though appropriate clear and streamlined. <b>However, anywhere the authors refer to other authors or other research, examples must be provided and give citations...These must be reported in the list of references [marked in RED and to avoid unethical use of Inflation of citations]</b></p> <p>Methodology representation is clear. [Many suggestions are added as shown in the attached Corrected Manuscript]. Suggested modification of the definition of variables style is recommended {see changes}</p> <p>Data analysis part is suggested with content for clarity of the next parts of the Results...</p> <p>Results section is clear, though structural changes are suggested for easier reading.</p> <p>Discussion is adequate, clear and streamlined. <b>However, this section during the discussion of results must be validated with reported literature.....[Many suggestions are added as shown in the attached Corrected Manuscript].</b></p> <p>Conclusion &amp; recommendations are adequate. However, Validate with reported literature</p> <p>Major problem here. Almost all references are not used in the text [Marked in RED] leading to Unethical Inflation of References.... Must add all citations to the list!!![Marked within the text in RED as well]</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes, Inflation of Citations &amp; References, since Citations are intext but not reported in the list of references!!! And references marked in RED must be removed or added to the text. When done, this is resolved!</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon